116TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION S.
To limit the use of facial recognition technology by Federal agencies, and for other purposes.
IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES
Mr. Coons (for himself and Mr. Lee) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on
A BILL
To limit the use of facial recognition technology by Federal agencies, and for other purposes.
1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
4 This Act may be cited as the "Facial Recognition
5 Technology Warrant Act of 2019".
6 SEC. 2. DEFINITIONS.
7 In this Act:
8 (1) Agency.—The term "agency" has the
9 meaning given the term in section 551 of title 5,

United States Code.

10

1	(2) COVERED COURT ORDER.—The term "cov-
2	ered court order" means a court order obtained in
3	accordance with rule 41 of the Federal Rules of
4	Criminal Procedure and in connection with the in-
5	vestigation of an offense for which an order could be
6	sought under section 2516 of title 18, United States
7	Code.
8	(3) Facial recognition technology.—The
9	term "facial recognition technology" means tech-
10	nology that analyzes facial features and is used for
11	the unique personal identification of individuals in
12	still or video images.
13	(4) Ongoing surveillance.—The term "on-
14	going surveillance"—
15	(A) means the utilization of facial recogni-
16	tion technology to engage in a sustained effort
17	to track the physical movements of an identified
18	individual through 1 or more public places
19	where such movements occur over a period of
20	time greater than 72 hours, whether in real
21	time or through application of such technology
22	to historical records; and
23	(B) does not include instances where facial
24	recognition technology is utilized for a single
25	identification or attempted identification of an

1	individual, if no subsequent attempt is made to
2	track that individual's movement in real time or
3	through the use of historical records after the
4	individual has been identified.
5	SEC. 3. LIMITATION ON USE OF FACIAL RECOGNITION
6	TECHNOLOGY.
7	(a) In General.—Subject to subsection (b), an offi-
8	cer or employee of an agency may not use facial recogni-
9	tion technology to engage in ongoing surveillance of an
10	individual or group of individuals in a public space, un-
11	less—
12	(1) the use of the facial recognition technology
13	is in support of a law enforcement activity; and
14	(2)(A) a covered court order has been obtained
15	to allow the use of facial recognition technology for
16	ongoing surveillance of the individual or group of in-
17	dividuals; or
18	(B) an investigative or law enforcement offi-
19	cer—
20	(i) reasonably determines that exigent cir-
21	cumstances and compelling law enforcement
22	needs make it impractical to obtain a covered
23	court order;

1	(ii) reasonably determines that there are
2	grounds for which a covered court order could
3	be obtained under subparagraph (A); and
4	(iii) causes an application for a covered
5	court order to be made in accordance with sub-
6	paragraph (A) not later than 48 hours after the
7	use of facial recognition technology to engage in
8	ongoing surveillance.
9	(b) Requirement.—If an application for a covered
10	court order made under subsection (a)(2)(B) is denied, the
11	use of facial recognition technology shall terminate at the
12	time of the denial.
13	(c) Duration of Orders.—
14	(1) In general.—Subject to paragraph (2), a
15	covered court order may only authorize ongoing sur-
16	veillance until the date on which the objective of the
17	order is satisfied, except that such order may not
18	authorize ongoing surveillance for a period of longer
19	than 30 days.
20	(2) Requirement.—The 30-day period de-
21	scribed in paragraph (1) shall begin on the earlier
22	of—
23	(A) the date on which the agency begins to
24	use facial recognition technology; or

1	(B) the date that is 10 days after the
2	court order is issued.
3	(3) Extension.—A court may grant an exten-
4	sion of the 30-day period described in paragraph (1)
5	if the extension satisfies the requirements of sub-
6	section (a)(2)(A) and such extension may last not
7	longer than 30 days.
8	(d) Minimization Requirement.—Any use of fa-
9	cial recognition technology pursuant to a covered court
10	order shall be conducted in such a way as to minimize
11	the acquisition, retention, and dissemination of informa-
12	tion about the individuals other than those for whom there
13	was probable cause to seek the covered court order ob-
14	tained under subsection (a)(2)(A).
15	(e) MOTION TO SUPPRESS.—
16	(1) In general.—Except as provided in para-
17	graph (2), any aggrieved individual who has been
18	the subject of ongoing surveillance using facial rec-
19	ognition technology, in any trial, hearing, or pro-
20	ceeding in or before any court, department, officer
21	agency, regulatory body, or other authority of the
22	United States, a State, or a political subdivision
23	thereof may move to suppress information directly

obtained through the use of facial recognition tech-

24

1	nology, or evidence derived therefrom, in violation of
2	this section, on the grounds that—
3	(A) the information was unlawfully ob-
4	tained;
5	(B) the order of authorization or approval
6	under which the information was obtained is in-
7	sufficient on its face; or
8	(C) the use of facial recognition technology
9	was not used in conformity with the order of
10	authorization or approval.
11	(2) Exception.—Evidence obtained through
12	the use of facial recognition technology in violation
13	of this section shall not be suppressed under para-
14	graph (1) if the evidence was acquired by an officer
15	or an employee of an agency with an objectively rea-
16	sonable belief that the use of facial recognition tech-
17	nology was in compliance with this section.
18	(3) Requirement.—A motion described in
19	paragraph (1) shall be made before the trial, hear-
20	ing, or proceeding unless there was no opportunity
21	to make such motion or the individual was not aware
22	of the grounds of the motion. If the motion is grant-
23	ed, the information directly obtained through the use
24	of facial recognition technology, or evidence derived

therefrom, shall be treated as having been obtainedin violation of this section.

- (4) Inspection of information.—The judge, upon the filing of a motion under this subsection by the aggrieved individual, may in his or her discretion make available to the aggrieved individual or counsel of the aggrieved individual for inspection such portions of the information or evidence derived therefrom as the judge determines to be in the interests of justice.
- (5) APPEAL.—In addition to any other right to appeal, the United States shall have the right to appeal from an order granting a motion to suppress made under this subsection, or the denial of an application for an order of approval, if the United States attorney shall certify to the judge or other official granting such motion or denying such application that the appeal is not taken for purposes of delay. Such appeal shall be taken within 30 days after the date the order was entered and shall be diligently prosecuted.
- (6) LIMITATION.—The remedies and sanctions described in this subsection with respect to the use of facial recognition technology are the only judicial

1	remedies and sanctions for nonconstitutional viola-
2	tions of this section involving such technology.
3	(f) Foreign Intelligence Information.—Noth-
4	ing in this section shall be construed to affect the use of
5	facial recognition technology to engage in ongoing surveil-
6	lance connected with the acquisition of foreign intelligence
7	information, as defined in section 101(e) of the Foreign
8	Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 (50 U.S.C. 1801(e)).
9	SEC. 4. REPORTS ON GOVERNMENT USE OF FACIAL REC-
10	OGNITION TECHNOLOGY.
11	(a) Report by Judge.—Not later than 30 days
12	after issuance of a covered court order under section
13	3(a)(2)(A) or an extension thereof under section $3(c)(3)$ ,
14	or the denial of such a warrant or extension, the issuing
15	or denying judge shall report to the Administrative Office
16	of the United States Courts—
17	(1) the fact that a warrant or extension was ap-
18	plied for;
19	(2) the fact that the warrant or extension was
20	granted as applied for, was modified, or was denied;
21	(3) the period of time for which the warrant ap-
22	proves the use of facial recognition technology, and
23	the number and duration of any extensions; and
24	(4) the offense specified in the warrant or ap-
25	plication.

1	(b) Reports.—Beginning 1 year after the date of
2	enactment of this Act, and not later than September 30
3	of each year thereafter, the Director of the Administrative
4	Office of the United States Courts shall transmit to the
5	Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate and the Com-
6	mittee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives,
7	and make available to the public, a full and complete re-
8	port summarizing the data required to be filed with the
9	Administrative Office under subsection (a), including—
10	(1) the number of applications for covered court
11	orders and extensions authorizing delayed notice;
12	(2) the number of covered court orders and ex-
13	tensions granted or denied during the preceding fis-
14	cal year;
15	(3) for each covered court order or extension
16	granted—
17	(A) the period of time for which the war-
18	rant approves the use of facial recognition tech-
19	nology, and the number and duration of any ex-
20	tensions;
21	(B) the offense specified in the covered
22	court order or application, or extension of an
23	order;
24	(C) the identity of the applying investiga-
25	tive or law enforcement officer and agency mak-

1	ing the application and the person authorizing
2	the application; and
3	(D) the nature of the facilities or cameras
4	from which the data analyzed by facial recogni-
5	tion technology came from;
6	(4) a general description of the identifications
7	made under a covered court order or extension, in-
8	cluding—
9	(A) the approximate nature and frequency
10	of use of the facial recognition technology;
11	(B) the approximate number of persons
12	who were subjected to analysis using the facial
13	recognition technology; and
14	(C) the approximate nature, amount, and
15	cost of the manpower and other resources dur-
16	ing the use of the facial recognition technology;
17	and
18	(5) the number of misidentifications, including
19	any arrest of an individual that does not result in
20	charges being entered against the individual, made
21	based upon information directly obtained through
22	the use of facial recognition technology, or evidence
23	derived therefrom.
24	(c) REGULATIONS.—The Director of the Administra-
25	tive Office of the United States Courts, in consultation

1 with the Attorney General, may issue guidance rega	arding
--	--------

- 2 the content and form of the reports required to be filed
- 3 under subsection (a).
- 4 SEC. 5. HUMAN REVIEW AND TESTING.
- 5 (a) Human Review of Facial Recognition Tech-
- 6 NOLOGY.—An agency shall require a trained officer to ex-
- 7 amine the output or recommendation of any facial recogni-
- 8 tion system before the agency investigates or otherwise
- 9 interacts with an individual identified by the system in
- 10 connection with a covered court order issued under section
- 11 3(a)(2)(A) or in connection with an emergency under sec-
- 12 tion 3(a)(2)(B).
- 13 (b) Testing.—The head of each agency, in consulta-
- 14 tion with the Director of the National Institute of Stand-
- 15 ards and Technology, shall establish testing procedures re-
- 16 garding all facial recognition technology systems used by
- 17 the agency, including a process to—
- 18 (1) periodically undertake independent tests of
- the performance of the system in typical operational
- 20 conditions;
- 21 (2) identify relative performance across dif-
- ferent subpopulations, including error rates when
- 23 the system is tested across subpopulations, alone
- and in combination with, different skin tones, ages,
- and genders; and

12

1	(3) review such tests and take action to improve
2	the accuracy of the system across subpopulations
3	upon a finding indicating there are disparate error
4	rates when the system is tested across subpopula-
5	tions.